

Psychology 354 Final Review

Exam format Exam tips Course Themes in Review

Exam Format

- Same format as midterm
- 10 definitions (choose from 12)
 - 3 marks each
 - 2 marks define the term
 - 1 mark say why term is interesting
 - Don't use point form!
- 1 essay (choose from 3)
 - 35 marks
 - 3-4 pages
 - Don't use point form
- Sample exam is on the website

Exam Tips

- Be more advanced than in midterm!
- Don't name drop
 - Don't name a term, and assume that by naming it I will think that you know what it means
- Don't fish
 - Don't regurgitate the whole course
 - Keep your answer focused
- Try to surprise me
 - Go beyond what I've told you to get full marks on the essay

Theme 1: Three Schools

- Key theme of course was that there are three different schools of thought in modern cognitive science
 - Know their properties
 - Know their relations to one another
 - Know their relative advantages and disadvantages

Classical Cognitive Science

Classical Cognitive Science	
Core Ideas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mind as a physical symbol system • Mind as digital computer • Mind as planner • Mind as creator and manipulator of models of the world • Mind as sense-think-act processing
Preferred Formalism	Symbolic logic
Tacit Assumption	Nativism, naive realism
Type of Processing	Symbol manipulation
Prototypical Architecture	Production system (Newell, 1973)
Prototypical Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Language • Problem solving
Philosophical Roots	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hobbes • Descartes • Leibniz • Craik
Some Key Modern Theorists	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chomsky • Dennett • Fodor • Pylyshyn
Some Pioneering Works	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Plans And The Structure Of Behavior</i> (Miller, Galanter, & Pribram, 1960) • <i>Aspects Of The Theory Of Syntax</i> (Chomsky, 1965) • <i>Human Problem Solving</i> (Newell & Simon, 1972)

Connectionist Cognitive Science

Connectionist Cognitive Science	
Core Ideas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mind as information processor, but not as a digital computer • Mind as a parallel computer • Mind as pattern recognizer • Mind as a statistical engine • Mind as biologically plausible mechanism
Preferred Formalism	Nonlinear optimization
Tacit Assumption	Empiricism
Type of Processing	Pattern recognition
Prototypical Architecture	Multi-layer perceptron (Rumelhart, Hinton, & Williams, 1986)
Prototypical Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discrimination learning • Perceptual categorization
Philosophical Roots	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aristotle • Locke • Hume • James
Some Key Modern Theorists	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • J.A. Anderson • Hinton • Kohonen • McClelland
Some Pioneering Works	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Principles Of Neurodynamics</i> (Rosenblatt, 1962) • <i>Parallel Models Of Associative Memory</i> (Hinton & Anderson, 1981) • <i>Parallel Distributed Processing</i> (McClelland & Rumelhart, 1986; Rumelhart & McClelland, 1986b)

Embodied Cognitive Science

Embodied Cognitive Science	
Core Ideas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mind as controller of action Mind emerging from situation and embodiment, or being-in-the-world Mind as extending beyond skull into world Mind as sense-act processing
Preferred Formalism	Dynamical systems theory
Tacit Assumption	Embodied interaction
Type of Processing	Acting on the world
Prototypical Architecture	Behavior-based robot (Brooks, 1989)
Prototypical Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locomotion Social interaction
Philosophical Roots	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vico Dewey Heidegger Merleau-Ponty
Some Key Modern Theorists	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brooks Clark Noë Wilson
Some Pioneering Works	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Cognition And Reality</i> (Neisser, 1976) <i>The Ecological Approach To Visual Perception</i> (Gibson, 1977) <i>Understanding Computers And Cognition</i> (Winnograd & Flores, 1987)

Theme 2: Unification?

- A second main theme running through the course is the potential for a unified cognitive science
 - Given what we know about the three schools, to what extent are they complementary or similar?
 - Is there a need for the three schools to be united?
 - Is there a possibility for such unification?



